

Self-Reflective, Contextual, Multi-Modal Auto-Ethnographic Work: An Approach to Teaching Visual Art Inquiry

Fiona Blaikie
Brock University

Abstract:

Visual auto-ethnography offers a way to engage students in self-directed arts-based inquiries focusing on material culture constructs (Garber, 2019). It facilitates creative work and meaningful connections to visual culture (Bolin & Blandy, 2018; Freedman, 2003). Situated in Bourdieusian (1984) habitus lenses, Pink's (2013) visual ethnography, and drawing on material and visual culture sources in students' lives, ideas and making practices are explored and expressed. As a multi-modal student-centered pedagogical approach, drawing on the idea of a cabinet of curiosities (Mauries, 2011), and Adam's (2015) non-linear process, autoethnography involves orienting to a personally relevant inquiry question, examining personally curated art and/or material culture artefacts, engaging in focused journaling, gathering relevant multi-modal artifacts and visual art as copies or originals, and finally, creating multi-modal artworks and accompanying texts that inform and complement one another. A student might examine, for example, lived experiences of spaces and places, situated alongside aesthetic experiences of spaces and places in visual art and culture. Contextual, multimodal and personally relevant, this approach is suitable for pedagogical settings ranging from middle school to higher education via arts education courses, advancing possibilities for situated focused creative work through reflective praxis. The work of Calle (2003) is helpful in locating forms of documentary work in

Teaching Visual Art Inquiry

contemporary art, and the work of Eldridge (2012) locates autoethnography in art education scholarship. It is clear that auto-ethnographers' voices and visions represent unique, situated worldviews, facilitating individual and collective understandings and respect for diverse cultures and perspectives.

Keywords: teaching; visual auto-ethnography; material culture; visual culture; multi-modal; self-reflexive

References

- Adams, T. E., Holman Jones, S. & Ellis, C. (2015). *Autoethnography: understanding qualitative research*. Oxford University Press.
- Bolin, P., & Blandy, D. (2018). *Learning things: Material culture in art education.* Teachers' College Press.
- Bourdieu, P. (1984). Distinction: A social critique of the judgement of taste. Routledge.
- Calle, S. (2003). M'as-tu vue? Centre Pompidou.
- Eldridge, L. (2012). A collaged reflection on my art teaching: A visual autoethnography. *The Journal of Social Theory in Art Education, 32,* 70-79.
- Freedman, K. (2003). *Teaching visual culture: Curriculum, aesthetics, and the social life of art.* Teachers' College Press.
- Garber, E. (2019). Objects and new materialisms: A journey across making and living with objects. *Studies in Art Education, 60*(1), 7-21.
- Mauriès, C. (2011). Cabinets of curiosities. Thames & Hudson.
- Pink, S. (2013). *Doing visual ethnography.* Sage.

Biographical Note

Fiona Blaikie is a professor and former dean of the Faculty of Education, Brock University. She has won numerous awards for teaching and scholarship, most recently the 2020 USSEA and InSEA Ziegfeld award for art education. Currently, she is editing an interdisciplinary collection for Routledge on gender, sexuality and visual identity constructs.

JCACS / RACÉC 21